

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5103 OF 2006

MARUTI RAGHUNATH KADAM (D) BY LRS. Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CHELLARAM JETHANAND MADHRANI & ORS. Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Heard Mr. Jadhav, learned counsel in support of this appeal and Mr. Navare, learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

The appellant had filed a suit for eviction of the licensee in the Court of Small Causes in Mumbai. After obtaining a decree when the appellant went for execution of the decree, respondent Nos.1 and 2, who were found in possession of the suit premises, caused obstruction, and therefore an obstructionist notice was taken out. That obstructionist notice was made absolute by the Small Causes Court but the High Court has taken the view that such a proceeding would not lie in the Court of Small Causes. That view has been overturned by this Court in Civil Appeal Nos.6726-6727 of 2013: Prabhudas Damodar Kotecha & Ors. Vs. Manhabala Jeram Damodar & Anr., decided on 13th August, 2013. In view thereof, the High Court was clearly in error in taking the view that such a proceeding would not lie in the Court of Small Causes. Therefore, this appeal is allowed and the impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court stands set aside. We may record that the appellants have already taken possession of the suit premises in the execution proceeding. This appeal is disposed of accordingly.

(H.L. GOKHALE)

.....J

.....J
(J. CHELAMESWAR)

New Delhi;
September 30, 2013.

ITEM NO.36

COURT NO.11

SECTION IX

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 5103 OF 2006

MARUTI RAGHUNATH KADAM (D) BY LRS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

CHELLARAM JETHANAND MADHRANI & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(With appln(s) for permission to place addl. documents on record and permission to file additional documents and office report)

Date: 30/09/2013 This Appeal was called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.L. GOKHALE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J. CHELAMESWAR

For Appellant(s) Mr. Shivaji M. Jadhav,Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Vinay Navare,Adv.
Mr. Keshav Ranjan,Adv.
Mr. Satyajeet Kumar,Adv.
Mr. Naresh Kumar,Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

Heard Mr. Jadhav, learned counsel in support of this appeal and Mr. Navare, learned counsel appearing for the respondents.

The appeal is allowed and the impugned judgment and order passed by the High Court stands set aside in terms of the signed order.

(A.S. BISHT)
COURT MASTER

(SNEH LATA SHARMA)
COURT MASTER

(Signed order is placed on the file)