

(e

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

I.A. NO.44 OF 2013 AND I.A. NO. 1 OF 2015

IN

WRIT PETITION (C) NO. 113 OF 1996

OKHLA ENCLAVE JOINT ACTION COMMITTEE ...PETITIONER

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA AND OTHERS ...RESPONDENTS

I.A. NO. 9 IN W.P. (C) NO. 792 OF 1996

I.A. NOS. 8-9, CRL. M.P. NO./2010 IN I.A. NO. 8,
I.A. NOS. 10, 13-24, I.A. NOS.1-170 AND I.A. NO. 1 IN
I.A. NO.58 IN I.A. NOS. 8 AND 9 IN W.P. (C) NO. 876 OF
1996.

W.P. (C) NO. 1037 OF 2014

I.A. NO.1/2015 IN W.P. (C) NO.2 OF 2015

I.A. NO.2/2015 IN W.P. (C) NO.3 OF 2015

I.A. NO.1/2015 IN W.P. (C) NO.6 OF 2015

W.P. (C) NO.27 OF 2015

I.A. NOS.1-4 IN W.P. (C) NO.1064 OF 2014

I.A. NO.11-12/2007 IN W.P. (C) NO.477 OF 1996

W.P.(C) No. 122 OF 2015

O R D E R

I.A. NO.13 OF 2014

Mr. V. Giri, learned senior counsel seeks
permission to withdraw this application in order to

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
Sukhbir Paul Kaur
Date: 2015.07.20
12:28:08 IST
Reason:

move the appropriate forum. The prayer is allowed.

I.A. No.13, accordingly, dismissed as withdrawn.

1

We have heard learned counsel for all the parties
including the learned counsel appearing in the writ
petitions as also in the other interlocutory
applications.

At the very outset, we are not inclined to
entertain these writ petitions and interlocutory

applications, except Interlocutory Application NOs.8 and 9 in Writ Petition (Civil) No.876 of 1996, and to adjudicate their title in respect of the area where they alleged to have been in occupation. Those writ petitions and interlocutory applications are hereby dismissed.

However, the writ petitioners and the other petitioners who have filed interlocutory applications are given liberty to move the appropriate forum by filing suits/other proceedings for adjudication of their title and possession.

The interim order, if any, passed in these writ petitions and interlocutory applications shall continue to be in force for a period of three months so that they may file applications before the Court where suits or proceedings that may be filed and pray for interim relief.

It is made clear that while hearing the interlocutory applications or passing order, the Court concerned shall not be prejudiced by the orders passed

by this Court. We further direct that the suits or proceedings, if any, that may be filed by these persons shall be decided as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one year.

So far as the interest of the petitioners in IA.Nos. 8 and 9 is concerned, having regard to the orders passed by this Court on 17.7.,.2013, 10.7.2014 and 4.8.2014, before we finally adjudicate the matter, we direct the Director General (DG), Town and Country Planning to file a fresh affidavit giving the details of the land available, excluding the land alleged to have been occupied by the encroachers or persons claiming title and also under general category and to state how the persons who have been allotted as far

I.A. No. 9 in W.P.(C) No. 792 of 1996
(For directions)

I.A. NOS. 8-9, CRL.M.P. No...../2010 in I.A. No. 8, I.A. Nos. 10,
13-24, I.A. Nos. 1-170 and I.A. No. 1 in I.A. No. 58 in I.A. Nos. 8
and 9 in W.P.(C) No. 876 of 1996
(For permission and impleadment and directions and clarification
and office report)

W.P.(C) No. 1037/2014
(With appln. For stay)

I.A. No. 1/2015 in W.P.(c) No. 2 of 2015
(For stay and office report)

I.A. No.2/2015 in W.P.(C) No. 3/2015
(For stay and office report)

I.A. No.1/2015 in W.P.(C) No. 6/2015
(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

W.P.(C) No. 27/2015
(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

I.A. Nos. 1-4 in W.P.(C) No. 1064/2014
(With appln.(s) for stay and appln.(s) for permission to file
additional documents and appln.(s) for exemption from filing O.T.
and Office Report)

I.A. Nos. 11-12/2007 in W.P.(C) No. 477/1996
(For directions and office report)

W.P.(C) No. 122/2015
(With appln.(s) for stay and Office Report)

5

Date : 15/07/2015 These applications and writ petitions were called
on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.Y. EQBAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE C. NAGAPPAN

Amicus Curiae Mr. Raju Ramachandran, Sr.Adv.

For the appearing parties :

Ms. Minakshi Vij,Adv.

Mr. P. Narasimhan,Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika,Adv.

Mr. Santosh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Rajeew Ranjan Mishra, Adv.

Mr. V. Sushant Gupta, Adv.

For Mr. Mushtaq Ahmad,Adv.

Ms. Susmita Lal,Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram,Adv.

Ms. Monisha Handa, adv.

Mr. Manan Kumar Mishra, Sr.Adv.

Mr. Anjani Kumar Mishra,Adv.

Ms. Anjkul Dwivedi, Adv.

Mr. H.K. Puri Adv.

Dr. Monika Gusain,Adv.

Mr. Azim H. Laskar, Adv.
Mr. Sachin Das, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Sengupta, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Kishan Datta, Adv.

Mr. P. Narasimhan, Adv.

Mr. Prem Malhotra, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.

6

Mr. T. V. George, Adv.

Mr. V. Sivasubramanian, Adv.

M/s. Khaitan & Co., Adv.

Mr. Ram Kishor Singh Yadav, Adv.

Mr. H. K. Puri, Adv.

Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr. Shahid Anwar, adv.

Mr. Amit Pawan, adv.

Mr. P.K. Dey, Adv.

Mr. T.A. Khan, Adv.

Mr. Kumar Parimal, Adv.

Mr. B.V. Balram Das, Adv.

Ms. Gunwant Dara, Adv.

Mr. D.S. Mahra, Adv.

Mr. E.C. Vidya Sagar, Adv.

Mr. Pramod Kumar, Adv.

Mr. G. Ramakrishna Prasad, Adv.

Mr. P. Narasimhan, Adv.

Mr. P.N. Puri, Adv.

Mr. P.P. Kanwar, Adv.

Mr. Ranbir Singh Yadav, Adv.

Mr. S. Rajappa, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Rajan, Adv.

Ms. Sumita Hazarika, Adv.

Petitioner-in-person

Dr. S.K. Verma, Adv.

Mr. Arun K. Sinha, Adv.

Mr. Bimal Roy Jad, Adv.

Mr. Dinesh Chandra Pandey, Adv.

Mr. Sudarshan Rajan, Adv.
Ms. Shriya Raj Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. K.B. Sounder Rajan, Adv.

Mr. Vikrant Yadav, Adv.
Mr. M.C. Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Munawwar Naseem Adv.

Mr. B.A. Khan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Piyush Sharma, Adv.

Mr. B.A. Khan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. P. Parmeswaran, Adv.

Mr. B.A. Khan, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Prakash Kumar Singh, Adv.
Mr. Arup Banerjee, Adv.
Mr. S. Ritam Khare, Adv.
Mr. B.R. Jad, adv.
Mr. P. Narasimhan, Adv.

Mr. Rajesh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Shailendra Bhardwaj, Adv.
Ms. Aroma S. Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar Kumar Adv.

Mr. S.K. Verma, Adv.

Mrs. Rani Chhabra, Adv.

Mr. Subhash Sharma, Adv.

Mr. K.N. Madhusoodhanan, Adv.
Mr. T.G. Narayanan Nair, Adv.

Mr. Soumyajit Pani, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Bhandari, Adv.
Mr. A.V. Manavalan, adv.
Mr. Vinodh Kanna B., Adv.

Mr. V. Sivasubramanian, Adv.

Mr. Yashpal Dhingra, Adv.

Mr. Brijendra Singh, Adv.
Ms. Jaspreet Gogia, Adv.

8

Ms. Jyotika Kalra, Adv.

For M/s Khaitan & Co., Advs.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, Adv.
Ms. Puja Sharma, Adv.
Ms. Vaishali Mittal, Adv.

Ms. Sadhana Sandhu, Adv.

Mr. Nitin Bhardwaj, Adv.

Mr. D.K. Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, adv.

Mr. N.K. Verma, Adv.
Mr. Shivam Garg, Adv.
Mr. Rama Shankar, Adv.

Mr. Brijendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anoop Kumar Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Mohit D. Ram, Adv.

Ms. Susmita Lal, Adv.
Mr. Apurb Lal, Adv.
Mr. Ashesh Lal, Adv.
Ms. Neha Garg, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Jain, Adv.
Ms. Preeti Singh, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Jain, Adv.

Mr. Amarjit Singh Bedi, Adv.
Mr. Srisatya Mohanty, Adv.

Ms. Priyanjali Singh, Adv.

Mr. Naresh Kumar, Adv.

Mr. B.S. Maan, adv.
Ms. Simita Maan, Adv.
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, adv.
Mr. M.A. Chinnasamy, Adv.

Mr. Ansar Ahmad Chaudhary, Adv.

Mr. Ekansh Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Sanjeev Agarwal, Adv.

9

Ms. Anitha Shenoy, Adv.
Mr. Merusagar Samantaray, Adv.
Mr. Kishan Datta, Adv.
Mr. Subramonium Prasad, Adv.
Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv.
Mr. D.C. Pandey, adv.
Mr. Piyush Sharma, adv.
Mr. U.P. Singh, Adv.
Mr. V. Giri, Sr.Adv.
Mr. Rahul Malhotra, Adv.
Mr. Jay Kishor Singh, Adv.

State of Haryana

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG
Ms. Nupur Singhal, adv.
Dr. Monika Gusain, Adv.
Mr. Satish Kapoor, Adv.
Mr. Brijendra Singh, Adv.
Mr. H.M. Singh, Adv.
Mr. Anil Hooda, Adv.
Mr. Prashant Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Ankit Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Jitender Hooda, Adv.
For Mr. R.K.S. Yadav, adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following
O R D E R

I.A. No.13 of 2014 is dismissed as withdrawn.

All the writ petitions and interlocutory applications
except Interlocutory application Nos. 8 and 9 in Writ Petition
(Civil) No. 876 of 1996 are dismissed.

The interim order, if any, passed in these writ
petitions and interlocutory applications shall continue to be
in force for a period of three months.

10

Put up I.A. Nos. 8 and 9 in Writ Petition (Civil) No.
876 of 1996 after six weeks.

[INDU POKHRIYAL]
COURT MASTER

[SUKHBIR PAUL KAUR]
A.R.-CUM-P.S.

(Signed order is placed on the file)