

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL No. \_\_\_\_\_ OF 2022  
arising out of SLP(C) No. 29207/2018

MONA BAGHEL & ORS.

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

SAJJAN SINGH YADAV & ORS.

Respondent(s)

O R D E R

Leave granted.

The Appellants are before this Court assailing the Judgment dated 20.02.2018, passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh in Misc. Appeal No. 938/2010. The said appeal before the High Court was filed by the claimants in Motor Vehicles Claim Case No. 176/2009 seeking enhancement of the compensation as against the amount awarded by the Motor Accidents Claims Tribunal (MACT '*for short*'). The Tribunal, on assessing the evidence available before it, had awarded a sum of Rs.4,99,000/- (Rupees Four Lacs Ninety Nine Thousand) with 6% interest on the said amount.

In the appeal filed by the claimants, the High Court, having considered the matter in detail has concluded as here under:

*“ Having accepted monthly income of the deceased to be Rs.8,000/- which has been proved through Income Tax returns, the admissible income of the deceased would have been Rs.6,000/- per month after 1/4<sup>th</sup> deduction for personal expenses and the annual income of the deceased would come to Rs.72,000/-. When multiplier of 17 is applied then the total income comes to Rs. 12,24,000/-. On this amount, a sum of Rs. 70,000/- will be added on various heads as has been granted by the Supreme Court in the case of National Insurance Company Ltd. Vs. Pranay Sethi & Others as reported in 2017 SCC Online Sc 1270, 40% future prospect is also to be added. Thus the total amounts comes to Rs.17,83,600/-. Accordingly, the amount awarded by the Claims Tribunal i.e. Rs.4,99,000/- stands enhanced to Rs.17,83,600/-. Therefore, the claimants would have been entitled to additional sum of Rs.12,84,600/- with interest @ 6% per annum on the aforesaid enhanced amount, but the fact is that valuation of the appeal is only Rs.6,50,000/- as amended vide I.A.No.5342/2014 which was allowed on 29.04.2016, therefore, appellants shall be entitled only to enhanced compensation to the extent of valuation of the appeal i.e. Rs.6,50,000/- along with interest @ 6 % per annum from the date as has been awarded by the Claims Tribunal.*

From the perusal of the above extracted portion, it is seen that the High Court having taken into consideration all the parameters for arriving at the just compensation, had enhanced the amount to Rs. 17,83,600/- (Rupees Seventeen Lacs Eight Three Thousand Six Hundred Only). From the said amount, the initial the amount awarded by the Tribunal was deducted and the amount of Rs. 12,84,600/- (Rupees Twelve Lacs Eight

Four Thousand Six Hundred) was arrived at.

However, the High Court, having taken into consideration that the valuation made in the Appeal was only for Rs. 6,50,000/- (Rupees Six Lacs Fifty Thousand Only) has awarded the said sum a enhanced compensation.

The only issue that arises for consideration in this Appeal is as to whether the High Court was justified in restricting the award of the enhanced amount to Rs. 6,50,000/- (Rupees Six Lacs Fifty Thousand Only) though, the amount of compensation determined was Rs. 12,84,600/- (Rupees Twelve Lacs Eight Four Thousand Six Hundred).

The law is well settled that in the matter of compensation, the amount actually due and payable is to be awarded despite the claimants having sought for a lesser amount and the claim petition being valued at a lesser value.

Our view, is fortified by the decision of this Court in the Case of *Ramla and Others Versus National Insurance Company Limited and Others 2019 2 SCC 192*, wherein, it is held as under :

“ Though the claimants had claimed a total compensation of Rs.25,00,000 in their claim petition filed before the Tribunal, we feel that the compensation which the claimants are entitled to is higher than the same as mentioned supra. There is no restriction that the Court cannot award compensation exceeding the claimed amount, since the function of the Tribunal or Court under Section 168 of the Motor Vehicles Act, 1988 is to

award "just compensation". The Motor Vehicles Act is a beneficial and welfare legislation. A "just compensation" is one which is reasonable on the basis of evidence produced on record. It cannot be said to have become time-barred. Further, there is no need for a new cause of action to claim an enhanced amount. The Courts are duty-bound to award just compensation. ( See the Judgments of this Court in (a) *Nagappa v. GurudayaI Singh*, (b) *Magma General Insurance Co. Ltd. v. Nanu Ram*, (c) *Ibrahim v.Raju*.)

In that view, the Judgment dated 20<sup>th</sup> February, 2018 passed by the High Court of Madhya Pradesh is modified.

It is held that the Appellants are entitled to the enhanced compensation of Rs. 12,84,600/- (Rupees Twelve Lacs Eight Four Thousand Six Hundred) with 6% interest, which shall be paid by the Insurance Company by depositing it before the MACT within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this Judgment.

The Appeal is accordingly, allowed.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

.....J.  
(A.S. BOPANNA)

.....J.  
(PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA)

ITEM NO.12

COURT NO.16

SECTION IV-C

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Petition(s) for Special Leave to Appeal (C) No(s). 29207/2018

(Arising out of impugned final judgment and order dated 20-02-2018 in MA No. 938/2010 passed by the High Court Of M.p At Gwalior)

MONA BAGHEL &amp; ORS.

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

SAJJAN SINGH YADAV &amp; ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 141389/2018 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

Date : 30-08-2022 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.S. BOPANNA

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PAMIDIGHANTAM SRI NARASIMHA

For Petitioner(s) Mr. Shishir Kumar Saxena, Adv.  
Mr. R.N. Pareek, Adv.  
Mr. Brijendra Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Manju Jetley, AOR

For Respondent(s) Mr. Pradeep Gaur, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Gaur, Adv.  
Mr. Rameshwar Prasad Goyal, AOR

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R

Leave granted.

The Appeal is allowed in terms of the signed order.

Pending application(s), if any, shall stand disposed of.

(NISHA KHULBEY)  
(SENIOR PERSONAL ASSISTANT)

(BEENA JOLLY)  
COURT MASTER (NSH)

(signed order is placed on the file)