

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA
CIVIL APPELLATE/ORIGINAL/INHERENT JURISDICTION

CIVIL APPEAL NO. 3947/2020

REJANISH K.V

APPELLANT (S)

VERSUS

K. DEEPA & ORS.

RESPONDENT (S)

W I T H

W.P. (C) No. 759/2017

W.P. (C) No. 1278/2019

R.P. (C) No. 381/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 396/2018

R.P. (C) No. 385/2021 in C.A. No. 1700/2020

R.P. (C) No. 1027/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 405/2016

R.P. (C) No. 379/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 578/2018

M.A. No. 179/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 405/2016

R.P. (C) No. 669/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 999/2019

R.P. (C) No. 380/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 222/2017

M.A. No. 1050/2021 in C.A. No. 1698/2020

R.P. (C) No. 781/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 316/2017

R.P. (C) No. 774/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 744/2019

R.P. (C) No. 780/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 602/2016

R.P. (C) No. 853/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 1080/2019

R.P. (C) No. 621/2021 in C.A. No. 1698/2020

R.P. (C) No. 868/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 414/2016

R.P. (C) No. 867/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 405/2016

R.P. (C) No. 782/2021 in W.P. (C) No. 639/2018

W.P. (C) No. 857/2021

R.P. (C) No. 989/2021 in T.P. (C) No. 272/2018

R.P.(C) No. 996/2021 in C.A. No. 1703/2020

W.P.(C) No. 864/2021

R.P.(C) No. 835/2021 in C.A. No. 1704/2020

R.P.(C) No. 836/2021 in C.A. No. 1706/2020

Diary No(s). 18470/2021

R.P.(C) No. 1354/2021 in C.A. No. 1698/2020

R.P.(C) No. 1042/2022 in W.P.(C) No. 999/2019

C.A. No. 11390/2025

W.P.(C) No. 827/2025

W.P.(C) No. 1189/2025

O R D E R

1. Application for listing review petition in open Court is allowed.
2. Delay condoned.
3. IA No.277571/2025 and IA No.289375/2025 (application(s) for intervention/impleadment) in Diary No.18470 of 2021 are allowed.

I. INTRODUCTION

4. These appeals, review petitions, writ petitions, and miscellaneous applications raise pertinent questions regarding the interpretation of Article 233(2) of the Constitution of India. Specifically, the issue under dispute was whether service as a judicial officer should be included when calculating the seven-year period referred to in that provision. Consequently, the arguments centred on whether serving or former judicial officers are eligible

for appointment as District Judges through the process for vacancies to be filled via direct recruitment.

5. The Constitution Bench judgment dated 09.10.2025 passed in the instant proceedings, which is also reported in 2025 SCC OnLine SC 2196, has now conclusively answered the question of law and held that judicial officers are eligible for being appointed as District Judges through direct recruitment, and their past period of service as a judicial officer shall count towards the minimum practice requirement for such eligibility. In doing so, the Constitution Bench has overruled a previous three-judge bench decision of this Court in *Dheeraj Mor v. High Court of Delhi*, (2020) 7 SCC 401. However, keeping in mind that the final directions which would need to be passed would require an analysis of the factual background of each case, the Constitution Bench, through paragraph 175 of its judgment, directed that these cases be placed before an appropriate bench for final adjudication. It is in this context that the instant cases have since been listed before this Court, from time to time. To assist in categorising the different cases and fully implementing the directions contained in the Constitution Bench judgment, this Court has, vide order dated 13.11.2025, also appointed Ms. Suhasini Sen, learned counsel, as an *Amicus Curiae*.

6. We have heard the learned *Amicus Curiae*, as well as learned Senior Counsel and counsel for the High Courts and the private litigants, and perused the material placed on record.

7. At the outset, we may notice that paragraphs 170 to 174 of the

judgment dated 09.10.2025 contain guiding directions for giving effect to the decision of this Court. However, for adequately effectuating such directions, the findings and observations made by the Constitution Bench throughout the entire judgment also have a material bearing. Therefore, the directions cannot be read in isolation, and the entire judgment must be applied in its true letter and spirit.

8. With this in mind, the learned *Amicus Curiae* was requested to recommend the manner in which the decision of the Constitution Bench can be implemented in the case of the present litigants. The learned *Amicus* has, to this end, submitted a self-explanatory note dated 16.12.2025, broadly identifying the five categories of circumstances under which the present batch of cases may be placed. Accordingly, it is further suggested as to which categories of judicial officers may or may not be entitled to the specific beneficial directions of this Court. Having gone through the said note, as well as the responses received from the various High Courts on the same, we deem it appropriate to address and issue directions on each category of cases separately.

II. CATEGORY A – PERSONS WHO HAVE BEEN APPOINTED OR APPOINTED BUT THEN REVERTED

9. The first category of cases covers all those officers who have, at the relevant time, undergone the complete selection process, been selected, and also been granted formal appointments. However, in some cases, the appointments of such officers were

reversed due to judicial orders, passed on the premise that such judicial officers were ineligible for direct recruitment into the Higher Judicial Services. That position of law now having been held to be erroneous by the Constitution Bench, there can be no doubt that the reversion of appointments is also liable to be declared illegal and has to be set aside. Ordered accordingly.

10. It is clarified that all such judicial officers who had earlier been appointed but had suffered reversion of their appointments on account of erroneous interpretation of law shall be deemed to have continued in service since when they were originally borne into the Higher Judicial Service. They shall be entitled to all concomitant benefits of seniority and notional pay fixation/increments, but without any arrears of pay.

III. CATEGORY B - PERSONS WHOSE SELECTIONS WERE COMPLETE, BUT THEIR APPOINTMENTS WERE NOT FORMALISED

11. The second category of officers comprises those who participated in the direct recruitment process and were selected upon completion of the entire process, but final appointment orders could not be issued in their favour on account of judicial intervention. Similarly, there are also those officers who underwent the entire selection process, but the formal declaration of results was withheld due to a dispute over the issues, which now stand finally answered by the Constitution Bench. We may, again, observe that such judicial intervention was based on what has been held to be an incorrect interpretation of the law, and in this

vein, meritorious judicial officers deserve the benefit of the directions of this Court.

12. We, therefore, direct the High Courts and the State Governments to immediately offer appointments to all such selected judicial officers, subject to the availability of vacancies in the respective Higher Judicial Services. If the current vacancies are insufficient, the remaining officers shall be prioritised for placement in any future vacancies that arise, regardless of the source from which such vacancy would otherwise be filled.

13. Such appointments shall be deemed to be with effect from 10.10.2025, i.e., a day after the Constitution Bench judgment was delivered. Accordingly, the officers shall be entitled to the benefits of notional pay fixation/increments.

14. However, the determination of *inter se* seniority in respect of such officers involves complex issues based upon the individual facts and circumstances, varying from High Court to High Court. Keeping that in mind, we direct that the seniority of these newly appointed officers *vis-à-vis* those officers, who have been appointed meanwhile without any controversy regarding their eligibility, shall be determined by a Committee of three senior Judges of the relevant High Court. Such a determination shall be made after giving an opportunity to be heard to all the officers likely to be affected. Furthermore, the Committee shall take into account that various judicial officers who are part of the instant category have already been appointed as District Judges through the

different avenues of promotion, but this development shall, in no manner, cause prejudice to the claim of such officers for appointment through direct recruitment.

15. The seniority ascertained by the Committee of Judges shall be placed before the Full Court of the High Court for final determination. The High Courts, on the administrative side, are directed to complete this process and publish the *inter-se* seniority of these officers within a period of four months.

16. The administrative decision on seniority shall be considered as a fresh cause of action, and if any officer is aggrieved by the same, he or she shall be at liberty to approach the appropriate forum for redressal.

IV. CATEGORY C - PERSONS WHOSE SELECTIONS HAVE NOT BEEN CONCLUDED WITH CATEGORY D - PERSONS WHO WERE SEEKING THE RIGHT TO PARTICIPATE IN THE SELECTION PROCESS

17. Adverting to the third category, we find that in some cases, the respective selection process for direct recruitment had not been completed due to a cloud over the eligibility of in-service judicial officers. Now that such a shadow has been cleared, we direct the High Courts to treat such officers as eligible and complete the selection process in accordance with the governing statutes and service rules.

18. Similarly, those judicial officers who are yet to participate in a selection process, which comprises the fourth category,

already stand entitled to participate in the same as per the dictum of the Constitution Bench.

19. Upon completion of the selection process and in the event of consequential appointment, such officers shall be accorded seniority only as per the date of actual appointment. They shall, in other words, not be entitled to raise any retrospective claim which would reopen the old, settled seniority on the basis of any imaginative right which has not yet crystallised.

20. However, if such officers have, in the meantime, crossed the minimum age limit while they were erroneously considered to be ineligible for direct recruitment due to being a judicial officer, they shall be entitled to age relaxation for only one opportunity to participate in the selection process for the Higher Judicial Services. For this purpose, the High Court may consider undertaking a fresh selection process, wherein such age-barred candidates would be permitted to participate as a one-time measure.

V. CATEGORY E - CHALLENGES TO APPOINTMENT/SELECTION OF JUDICIAL OFFICERS

21. The final category of cases involves challenges made to appointments of judicial officers. The Constitution Bench of this Court, now having affirmed the eligibility of judicial officers for being directly recruited as District Judges, such challenges are liable to fail, and the selection and/or appointment of the respective officers is accordingly upheld.

VI. CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS

22. For the purposes of cadre management, we deem it apposite to issue a final clarification: all the judicial officers, who would benefit from deemed service from the original date of appointment or a retrospective notional date due to the judgment passed by the Constitution Bench and the instant final order, shall be taken to be District Judges appointed through direct recruitment.

23. In the interest of complete fairness, we acknowledge that, in the course of oral submissions, various other related issues have been raised by learned senior counsel/counsel for the parties. However, in the absence of specific pleadings with reference to each High Court and the factual determinations that would be required to be made for adjudication thereon, we are afraid that it would not be prudent for this Court to go into those questions at this stage. The same are, accordingly, kept open for the parties to agitate, at the first instance, before the Committee of Judges described above. The Committee shall decide the factual disputes and submit its recommendation to the Full Court of the High Court. The Full Court shall, in turn, take the final decision, within four months of the aggrieved party having submitted its representation. There shall, however, be an endeavour by each High Court to not generate new inter-se seniority disputes, especially given that members of the bar have given up their practice to join the Higher Judicial Services with a legitimate expectation of seniority based upon the date of their appointment.

24. In any case, the parties shall be at liberty to raise such contention(s), if need be, in appropriate judicial proceedings.

25. The appeals, petitions, and miscellaneous applications stand disposed in the above terms, along with all pending applications.

.....CJI
(SURYA KANT)

.....J.
(JOYMALYA BAGCHI)

.....J.
(VIPUL M. PANCHOLI)

NEW DELHI;
MARCH 11, 2026.

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A
RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Civil Appeal No(s). 3947/2020

REJANISH K.V

Appellant(s)

VERSUS

K. DEEPA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

(IA No. 240744/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 240747/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 246908/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 94827/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 240131/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 246902/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 234828/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 240823/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 234278/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 234043/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 240746/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 82306/2024 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 240663/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 240176/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 240724/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 154702/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 76147/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 126111/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE LENGTHY LIST OF DATES, IA No. 126110/2020 - PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL FACTS AND GROUNDS, IA No. 101031/2021 - REJOINDER and IA No. 126108/2020 - STAY APPLICATION)

WITH

W.P.(C) No. 759/2017 (X)

Ref order dated 13.11.2025

Ms. Suhasini Sen as an Amicus Curiae.

(IA No. 55346/2020 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 80893/2017 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 96400/2020 - EARLY HEARING APPLICATION, IA No. 62897/2019 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT, IA No. 16575/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES, IA No. 46155/2020 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES and IA No. 270468/2024 - PERMISSION TO PLACE ADDITIONAL FACTS AND GROUNDS)

W.P.(C) No. 1278/2019 (X)

(IA No. 133305/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION, IA No. 159301/2019 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 159302/2019 - EX-PARTE STAY and IA No. 17607/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

R.P.(C) No. 381/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 396/2018 (X)

(IA No. 142106/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION and IA No.

83516/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

R.P.(C) No. 385/2021 in C.A. No. 1700/2020 (III-A)
(IA No. 131005/2024 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT, IA No. 313691/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 257920/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 289371/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT and IA No. 95281/2021 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

R.P.(C) No. 1027/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 405/2016 (X)
(IA No. 101702/2020 - APPLICATION FOR EXEMPTION FROM FILING ORIGINAL VAKALATNAMA/OTHER DOCUMENT and IA No. 101700/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

R.P.(C) No. 379/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 578/2018 (X)
(IA No. 107067/2020 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT, IA No. 33107/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION, IA No. 107069/2020 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 140966/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 267533/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT and IA No. 266652/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

MA 179/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 405/2016 (X)
(IA No. 126701/2020 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION)

R.P.(C) No. 669/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 999/2019 (X)

R.P.(C) No. 380/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 222/2017 (X)
(IA No. 41296/2021 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT and IA No. 41297/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

MA 1050/2021 in C.A. No. 1698/2020 (XIV-A)
(IA No. 60181/2021 - APPLICATION FOR PERMISSION, IA No. 60184/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 60182/2021 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT and IA No. 60185/2021 - MODIFICATION OF COURT ORDER)

R.P.(C) No. 781/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 316/2017 (X)
(IA No. 70908/2021 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT, IA No. 94142/2024 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION, IA No. 107880/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES and IA No. 70906/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

R.P.(C) No. 774/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 744/2019 (X)
(IA No. 72819/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

R.P.(C) No. 780/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 602/2016 (X)
(IA No. 75168/2021 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT)

R.P.(C) No. 853/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 1080/2019 (X)

R.P.(C) No. 621/2021 in C.A. No. 1698/2020 (XIV-A)

(IA No. 227380/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 34807/2022 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 279377/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 272912/2025 - CLARIFICATION/DIRECTION, IA No. 279378/2025 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No. 227875/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 224834/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 36260/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 34804/2022 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 95875/2021 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION and IA No. 279529/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

R.P.(C) No. 868/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 414/2016 (X)

(IA No. 92054/2021 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT)

R.P.(C) No. 867/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 405/2016 (X)

(IA No. 97477/2021 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT, IA No. 97478/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT, IA No. 10317/2022 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION and IA No. 86075/2021 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ ANNEXURES)

R.P.(C) No. 782/2021 in W.P.(C) No. 639/2018 (X)

(IA No. 85245/2021 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT, IA No. 267087/2025 - APPLICATION FOR TRANSPOSITION, IA No. 267071/2025 - APPLICATION FOR TRANSPOSITION, IA No. 266999/2025 - APPLICATION FOR TRANSPOSITION, IA No. 288306/2025 - APPLICATION FOR TRANSPOSITION, IA No. 274728/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION, IA No. 279235/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT and IA No. 267631/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

W.P.(C) No. 857/2021 (X)

(IA No. 93213/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No. 93212/2021 - STAY APPLICATION)

R.P.(C) No. 989/2021 in T.P.(C) No. 272/2018 (XI)

(IA No. 106912/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

R.P.(C) No. 996/2021 in C.A. No. 1703/2020 (III-A)

(IA No. 266948/2025 - APPLICATION FOR TRANSPOSITION, IA No. 238719/2025 - APPLICATION FOR TRANSPOSITION, IA No. 106028/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 268099/2025 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

W.P.(C) No. 864/2021 (X)

(IA No. 93710/2021 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)

R.P.(C) No. 835/2021 in C.A. No. 1704/2020 (III-A)

(IA No. 94945/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED

JUDGMENT)

R.P.(C) No. 836/2021 in C.A. No. 1706/2020 (III-A)
(FOR PERSONAL HEARING BEFORE THE COURT ON IA 95052/2021 and IA No. 95050/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT)

Diary No(s). 18470/2021 (X)
(IA No. 96352/2021 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT, IA No. 283202/2025 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No. 96355/2021 - CONDONATION OF DELAY IN FILING REVIEW PETITION, IA No. 96350/2021 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING C/C OF THE IMPUGNED JUDGMENT and IA No. 277571/2025 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION)

R.P.(C) No. 1354/2021 in C.A. No. 1698/2020 (XIV-A)
(IA No. 43878/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

R.P.(C) No. 1042/2022 in W.P.(C) No. 999/2019 (X)
(IA No. 74132/2022 - APPLICATION FOR LISTING REVIEW PETITION IN OPEN COURT and IA No. 74134/2022 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

C.A. No. 11390/2025 (III-B)
(IA No. 46607/2023 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

W.P.(C) No. 827/2025 (X)
(IA No. 209996/2025 - GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF and IA No. 209997/2025 - PERMISSION TO FILE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS/FACTS/ANNEXURES)

W.P.(C) No. 1189/2025 (X)

Date : 11-03-2026 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM : HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JOYMALYA BAGCHI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIPUL M. PANCHOLI

For Appellant(s) :

Mr. Anand Sanjay M Nuli, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Akhila Wali, Adv.
Mr. Nanda Kumar K B, Adv.
Mr. Shiva Swaroop, Adv.
Ms. Tanya Chillar, Adv.
M/S. Nuli & Nuli, AOR

Mr. Paramjit Singh Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Vivek Singh, AOR
Mr. Santosh Singh, Adv.
Ms. Saumya Sarasawat, Adv.

Mr. Kripa Shankar, Adv.

Mr. Chandra Bhushan Prasad, AOR
Mr. Prafulla, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, AOR

Mr. Paramjit Singh Patwalia, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Santosh Kumar, AOR
Ms. Richa Singh, Adv.
Ms. Deveshi Chand, Adv.
Mr. Shravanth Paruchuri, Adv.

Ms. Anne Mathew, AOR

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D. K. Devesh, AOR
Ms. Barnali Basak, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Mishra, Adv.
Mrs. Shashi Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Narang, Adv.
Ms. Shalini Haldar, Adv.
Mr. Mehul M. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Rana, Adv.
Mr. Sanyam Maan, Adv.
Mr. Suprabh Kumar Roshan, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Kumar Saurav, Adv.
Mr. Jitesh Saluja, Adv.

Mr. Md. Naushad Alam, AOR
Mr. Saurabh Kansal, Adv.
Ms. Pallavi S Kansal, Adv.
Mr. Raghav Vij, Adv.

Mr. Pankaj Kumar Mishra, AOR

Ms. Ankita Chaudhary, AOR
Mr. Virag Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Shreyas Balaji, Adv.
Mr. Chand Kapoor, Adv.
Ms. Richa Attrey, Adv.

Mr. Vinay Navare, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Gopal Sankaranarayanan, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amol B. Karande, AOR
Ms. Vangala Sailaja, Adv.
Mr. Sagar Kasar, Adv.
Ms. Trisha Chandran, Adv.
Ms. Akshada, Adv.
Mr. Ravindra Harpale, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. B Lakshmi Pallesh, Adv.
Ms. Chittimala Chandrakala, Adv.
Mr. Batchu Jagdish Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Parnam Prabhakar, Adv.
Mr. Tushar Srivastava, Adv.

Mr. Devashish Chauhan, Adv.
Mr. Dhruv Gautam, AOR
Ms. Madhura Mn, Adv.

Mr. Divyesh Pratap Singh, AOR
Mr. Nishe Rajen Shonker, AOR
Mr. Rashid N. Azam , AOR

Mr. Rahul Unnikrishnan, Adv.
Mr. Rohan J. Alva, Adv.
Ms. Tanvi Dubey, AOR
Mr. Anant Sanghi, Adv.
Ms. Gayatri T., Adv.

Mr. Aljo K. Joseph, AOR

Mr. Niraj Gupta, AOR
Mrs. Anshu Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Siddhi Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Subham Gupta, Adv.

Mr. Rajiv Ranjan Dwivedi, AOR

Ms. Sunita Sharma, AOR
Mr. Karanvir Singh Khehar, Adv.
Mr. Hari Om Singh Rajaur, Adv.
Mr. Anurag Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Rajive Bhalla, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M. K. Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Tina Garg, AOR
Mr. Yajur Bhalla, Adv.
Ms. Gauri Bedi, Adv.

IA D. No.72080/26

IA D. No.72081/26 Mr. Sushil Kumar Jain, Sr. Adv.
 Mr. Sachin Hitkari, AOR

For Respondent(s) :

Ms. Asmita Singh, AOR
Ms. Ankita Makan, Adv.

Mr. Ankolekar Gurudatta, AOR
Ms. Kavya Eligeti, Adv.

Mr. Sorokhaibam Shanti Jyoti Singh, Adv.
Mr. Aman Choudhary, Adv.
Mr. Venkat Lokesh Paluchuru, Adv.

Mr. Ashok Mathur, AOR
Ms. Shradha Zutshi, Adv.

Mr. Sandeep Sudhakar Deshmukh, AOR

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR
Ms. Amruta Arjun Garg, Adv.
Ms. Arushi Kulshrestha, Adv.
Mr. Saarangsh Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Muskan Bensla, Adv.
Ms. Mrinmoyee Das, Adv.

Ms. Sindoor Vnl, AOR
Ms. Thithiksha Padmam, Adv.

Mr. Rakesh Dwivedi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Yashvardhan, Adv.
Ms. Smita Kant, Adv.
Mr. Apoorv Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Devesh Mohan, Adv.
Mr. Gyanendra Shukla, Adv.
Mr. Pranav Das, Adv.
Mr. Jagjit Singh Chhabra, AOR

Mr. Mukul Kumar, AOR

Mr. Maninderjit Singh Bedi, Advocate General
Mr. Rajat Bhardwaj, A.A.G.
Ms. Baani Khanna, AOR
Mr. Robin Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kapil Balwani, Adv.
Ms. Komal Thakkar, Adv.

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Deepak Goel, AOR
Ms. Nandita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Malak Manish Bhatt, AOR

Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, AOR
Mr. Kanhaiya Singhal, Adv.
Mrs. Vani Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Prasanna, Adv.
Ms. Shatakshi Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kanav Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Amit Gupta, AOR

Mr. Rajshekhar Rao, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amit Gupta, Adv.
Ms. Muskan Nagpal, Adv.
Mr. Kshitij Vaibhav, Adv.

Mr. Chander Uday Singh, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ems Anam, Adv.
Ms. Usha Nandini V., AOR
Mr. Biju P Raman, Adv.
Mr. John Thomas Arakal, Adv.
Ms. Bidya Mohanty, Adv.
Ms. Katyayani Suhrud, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Kalaiyarasan, Adv.
Ms. Nandana Harikrishnan, Adv.
Ms. Dimple Nagpal, Adv.

Mr. Jaideep Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. C. K. Sasi, AOR
Dr. Kk Geetha, Adv.
Ms. Meena K Poullose, Adv.
Ms. Racheeta Chawla, Adv.
Ms. Riddhi Bose, Adv.
Ms. Sampriti Baksi, Adv.
Mr. Sidharth Banerjee, Adv.
Ms. Rishi Agarwal, Adv.

Mr. Azmat Hayat Amanullah, AOR
Ms. Rebecca Mishra, Adv.

Mr. V. N. Raghupathy, AOR

Mr. Adarsh Upadhyay, AOR
Ms. Pallavi Kumari, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Pachauri, Adv.

Mr. Shrirang B. Varma, Adv.
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.
Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR

Ms. Deepanwita Priyanka, AOR
Mr. Satyalipsu Ray, Adv.
Ms. Aneesha Rastogi, Adv.

Mr. Aman Panwar, A.A.G.
Mr. Sanchit Garga, AOR
Mr. Kunal Rana, Adv.
Mr. Shashwat Jaiswal, Adv.
Mr. Abhinav Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Manav Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. P.n.ravindran, Sr. Adv.
Mr. A. Hariprasad, Sr. Adv.

Mr. T. G. Narayanan Nair, AOR
Ms. Samyuktha H Nair, Adv.
Ms. Swathi H. Prasad, Adv.

Mr. Shekhar G Devasa, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Manish Tiwari, Adv.
Mrs. Thashmitha Muthanna, Adv.
Mr. Rakesh Kini, Adv.
Mr. Shashi Bhushan Nagar, Adv.
M/S. Devasa & Co., AOR

Mr. Rajive Bhalla, Sr. Adv.
Mr. M. K. Ghosh, Adv.
Ms. Tina Garg, AOR

Mr. Pradeep Misra, AOR

Mr. P B Suresh Kumar, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Bijo Mathew Joy, AOR
Ms. Gifty Marium Joseph, Adv.
Mr. Adarsh, Adv.

Ms. Devina Sehgal, AOR
Mr. Yatharth Kansal, Adv.
Mr. M. Srikanth Varma, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, AOR

Mr. Prashant Padmanabhan, AOR

Mr. John Mathew, AOR

Mr. Nagarjun Sahu, Adv.
Mr. Pranjal Kishore, AOR

Mr. Animesh Kumar , AOR
Mr. Y. Raja Gopala Rao, AOR

Mr. Anandh Kannan N., AOR

Mr. Anil C Nishani, Adv.
Mr. J K Mishra, Adv.
Mr. Krishna M Singh, Adv.
Mr. Kushal U, Adv.
M/s Krishna & Nishani Law Chambers, AOR

Mr. V. Elanchezhiyan, AOR
Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.
Mr. Mohd Jawaid H Khan, Adv.
Mr. Nasim Anwar, Adv.
Ms. Abida, Adv.
Mr. Shafik Ahmed, Adv.

Ms. Anju, Adv.
Mr. Ishan Yadav, Adv.
Mr. Rishab Sardana, Adv.
Mr. Sunny, Adv.

Mr. Kshitij Mudgal, AOR

Mr. Chand Qureshi, AOR
Mr. Md.imran Siddiqui, Adv.
Mr. Keshav Dev, Adv.
Mr. Mohammad Salman Siddiqui, Adv.
Ms. Sakeena Quidwai, Adv.
Mr. M.venkata Vasudev, Adv.
Ms. Velasree S, Adv.
Ms. Preeti Chauhan, Adv.
Mrs. Arpana Soni, Adv.
Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, AOR

Ms. Shobha Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Amol B. Karande, AOR
Mr. Achal Singh Bule, Adv.
Ms. Akshada, Adv.
Mr. Ashutosh Srivastava, Adv.
Mr. B Lakshmi Pallesh, Adv.
Ms. Ritika Singhal, Adv.
Mr. Kshitish Bikrima, Adv.

Mr. Siddharth R. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Mrigank Prabhakar, AOR
Mr. Aman Agarwal, Adv.
Mr. Shantanu Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Uddaish Palya, Adv.
Ms. Surbhi Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Astha Singh, Adv.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Singh, AOR
Mr. M.p. Parthiban, AOR
Mr. Santosh Kumar, AOR

Mr. Virag Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Vishal Arun Mishra, AOR

Mr. Abhishek Yadav, AOR
Mr. Saurabh Yadav, Adv.

Mr. Nidhesh Gupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Anand Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Gupta, AOR

Mr. Mrinal Kumar Sharma, AOR

Mr. Arjun Garg, AOR

Ms. Amruta Arjun Garg, Adv.
Ms. Arushi Kulshrestha, Adv.
Mr. Saaranish Shukla, Adv.
Ms. Muskan Bensla, Adv.
Ms. Mrinmoyee Das, Adv.

Mr. Anurag Shukla, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Sameer Shrivastava, AOR
Ms. Shruti Singh, Adv.
Mr. Shashi Bhushan, Adv.
Ms. Aroma Khare, Adv.

Mr. Pawas Agarwal, AOR
Mr. Triloki Nath Razdan, AOR

Mr. Himanshu Sharma, AOR
Mr. Sandeep, Adv.
Mrs. Aditi Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.
Ms. Kamlesh, Adv.
Ms. Shivani Shidhaye, Adv.
Ms. Richa, Adv.
Mr. Varun Sharma, Adv.

Mr. T. Singhdev, Adv.
Mr. Prateek Bhatia, AOR
Mr. Rajesh Mishra, Adv.
Ms. Yamini Singh, Adv.
Mr. Tanishq Shrivastava, Adv.
Mr. Abhijit Chakravarty, Adv.
Mr. Bhanu Gulati, Adv.
Mr. Sourabh Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Vedant Sood, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar, AOR

Mr. Ajit Kumar Sinha, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Deepak Goel, AOR
Ms. Nandita Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AOR
Dr. Vijay Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Rashid N. Azam , AOR
Mr. Abhijit Banerjee, AOR
Mr. Naresh Kumar, AOR

Mr. V. Giri, Sr. Adv.
Dr. Manish Singhvi, Sr. Adv.
Mr. D. K. Devesh, AOR
Ms. Barnali Basak, Adv.
Mr. Abhishek Mishra, Adv.

Mrs. Shashi Sharma, Adv.
Mr. Rahul Narang, Adv.
Ms. Shalini Haldar, Adv.
Mr. Mehul M. Gupta, Adv.
Mr. Mayank Gautam, Adv.
Mr. Rajat Rana, Adv.
Mr. Sanyam Maan, Adv.
Mr. Suprabh Kumar Roshan, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Kumar Saurav, Adv.
Mr. Jitesh Saluja, Adv.

Mr. Narendra Hooda, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Ashish Pandey, AOR
Mr. Prafulla, Adv.
Mr. Shubham Saxena, Adv.
Ms. Kavya Manuja, Adv.
Mr. Shashank Sharma, Adv.

Mr. Vivek Sharma, AOR
Dr. Vijay Kumar Sharma, Adv.

Mr. A Deb Kumar, Adv.
Mr. Tapas Das, Adv.
Mr. Subash Ch Sabat, Adv.
Mr. Sudhakar Dwivedi, Adv.
Mr. Pranaya Kumar Mohapatra, AOR

Mr. Shailesh Madiyal, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Chandrashekhar A. Chakalabbi, AOR

Mr. Ankit Swarup, AOR

Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Adv.
Ms. Prachi Sohi, Adv.
Ms. Pooja Devi, Adv.
Mr. Varun Punia, AOR

Mr. Himanshu Shekhar, AOR
Mr. Parth Shekhar, Adv.

UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following

O R D E R

1. Application for listing review petition in open Court is allowed.
2. Delay condoned.
3. IA No.277571/2025 and IA No.289375/2025 (application(s) for

intervention/impleadment) in Diary No.18470 of 2021 are allowed.

4. The appeals, petitions, and miscellaneous applications stand disposed of, along with all pending applications, in terms of the signed order.

(NITIN TALREJA)
ASTT. REGISTRAR-cum-PS

(PREETHI T.C.)
ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

(Signed order is placed on the file)