

**Reportable**

**IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA  
CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No 335 of 2024**

**Vanshika Yadav**

**Petitioner**

**Versus**

**Union of India and Others**

**Respondents**

**W I T H**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.362 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.369 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.368 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.431 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.379 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.377 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.376 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.375 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.425 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.401 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.415 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.407 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.412 of 2024**

**Writ Petition (Civil) No.383 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.419 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.406 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.403 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.398 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.414 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.423 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.404 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.427 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.441 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.420 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.430 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.446 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.410 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.382 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.381 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.394 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.384 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.389 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.417 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.393 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.435 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No.28729 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.1741 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.449 of 2024**  
**Writ Petition (Civil) No.392 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1659 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1597 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1600 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil)No.1602 of 2024**

**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1596 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1808 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1741 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1737 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1735 of 2024**  
**Transfer Petition (Civil) No.1730 of 2024**

**ORDER**

- 1 The National Eligibility-cum-Entrance Test (UG)<sup>1</sup> 2024 examination was conducted by the National Testing Agency<sup>2</sup> on 5 May 2024. The results were declared on 4 June 2024.
- 2 The examination was conducted at 4,750 centres comprised within 571 cities, besides 14 cities overseas. About 23,33,297 candidates appeared for the examination. They are competing for 1.08 lac medical admissions at the under-graduate level, of which approximately 56,000 seats are in government hospitals while the balance 52,000 seats are in privately managed institutions.
- 3 The 50<sup>th</sup> percentile represents the cut-off for qualification. The examination consists of 180 questions, each carrying four marks, thus making a total of 720 marks overall. One negative mark is assigned for an incorrect answer.

1 “NEET (UG)”

2 “NTA”

Based on the result of the NEET (UG) 2024, the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile has worked out to 164 marks out of 720. Candidates who have attained this threshold are eligible to be considered for admission but are not guaranteed admission into the MBBS program. Seats are allocated both among the unreserved category of students and the reserved category, consisting of candidates belonging to Scheduled Castes, Scheduled Tribes, Other Backward Classes and Economically Weaker Sections.

- 4 In this batch of cases, the petitioners assert that a direction should be issued for convening a re-test on the ground that (i) there was a leakage of the question paper; and (ii) there are systemic deficiencies in the modalities envisaged for the conduct of the examination.
- 5 The submission which has been urged on behalf of the petitioners is that the leak which took place in the course of the NEET (UG) examination is systemic in nature and, coupled with the structural deficiencies in the conduct of the examination, the appropriate course of action in view of the previous decisions of this Court, including in ***Tanvi Sarwal vs Central Board of Secondary Education and Others***<sup>3</sup> and ***Sachin Kumar and Others vs Delhi Subordinate Service Selection Board (DSSSB) and Others***,<sup>4</sup> is to direct a re-test.

3 (2015) 6 SCC 573

4 (2021) 4 SCC 631

6 By an interim order dated 8 July 2024, this Court called for disclosures on affidavit by the NTA; the Union of India; and by the Central Bureau of Investigation.<sup>5</sup> While flagging the principal issues in contention, the CBI has been involved in the process because the FIRs which were registered in Delhi, Gujarat, Rajasthan, Jharkhand, Maharashtra and Bihar have been transferred to it for investigation. In the earlier order, this Court noted that it would have to scrutinize the following aspects on the basis of the data which would emerge on the record, namely:

- (i) Whether the alleged breach took place at a systemic level;
- (ii) Whether the breach is of a nature which affects the integrity of the entire examination process; and
- (iii) Whether it is possible to segregate the beneficiaries of the fraud from the untainted students.

7 Directions were consequently issued to the above agencies of the Union to make specific disclosures on the issues which have been highlighted in the previous order.

8 Arguments have been heard over four days. We have had the benefit of considering the submissions urged on behalf of the petitioners, the Union of India and the NTA. Mr Y V Krishna, Additional Director, CBI has in the course

5 “CBI”

of the proceedings apprised the Court on the status of the investigation.

- 9 Arguments have been concluded and judgment has been reserved.
- 10 There is an urgent need to provide certainty and finality to a dispute which affects the careers of over two million students. Hence, it is imperative that the final conclusions of the Court be recorded at the present stage. The reasons for the ultimate conclusions will follow later.
- 11 We proceed to record the essential conclusions in the following terms:
  - (i) The fact that a leak of the NEET (UG) 2024 paper took place at Hazaribagh in the State of Jharkhand and at Patna in the State of Bihar is not in dispute;
  - (ii) Following the transfer of the investigation to it, the CBI has filed its status reports dated 10 July 2024, 17 July 2024 and 21 July 2024. The disclosures by the CBI indicate that the investigation is continuing. The CBI has indicated that at the present stage, the material which has emerged during the course of the investigation would indicate that about 155 students drawn from the examination centres at Hazaribagh and Patna appear to be the beneficiaries of the fraud;
  - (iii) Since the investigation by the CBI has not attained finality at the present point of time, this Court had in its previous order required the Union Government to indicate whether trends in regard to the existence

of abnormalities can be deduced through data analytics on the basis of the results emanating from 4,750 centres situated in 571 cities. Pursuant to the directions of the Court, the Union Government has produced a report of Indian Institute of Technology,<sup>6</sup> Madras. The objection of the petitioners to the report of IIT, Madras on the grounds of alleged bias would be considered in the course of the reasoned judgment which will follow. At this stage, in order to obviate any controversy, the Court has independently scrutinized the data which has been placed on the record by the NTA;

- (iv) At the present stage, there is an absence of material on the record to lead to the conclusion that the entire result of the examination stands vitiated or that there was a systemic breach in the sanctity of the examination;
- (v) Added to the absence of conclusive material on the record at the present stage, the data which has been produced on the record city-wise and centre-wise and the comparison of data for the years 2022, 2023 and 2024 are not indicative of a systemic leak of the question paper impacting the sanctity of the examination;
- (vi) In arriving at the ultimate conclusion, the Court is guided by the well-settled test of whether it is possible to segregate tainted students from

6 “IIT”

those whose candidature does not suffer from any taint. If the investigation reveals the involvement of an increased number of beneficiaries over and above those who are suspects at the present stage, action shall be pursued against every student found to be involved in wrong doing at any stage, notwithstanding the completion of the counselling process. No student who is revealed to have engaged in acts of fraud or to have been the beneficiary of malpractice would be entitled to claim a vested right or interest in the continuation of the admission in the future by virtue of the findings in this judgment; and

- (vii) Directing a fresh NEET (UG) to be conducted for the present year would be replete with serious consequences for over two million students who have appeared in the examination. Adopting such a course of action would, in particular, (i) lead to a disruption of the admission schedule for the commencement of medical courses, setting back the entire process by several months; (ii) lead to cascading effects on the course of medical education; (iii) impact the availability of qualified medical professionals in the future; and (iv) cause a serious element of disadvantage to students belonging to marginalized communities and weaker sections for whom reservation has been made in the allocation of seats.

- 12 Ordering the cancellation of the entire NEET (UG) 2024 examination is not justified on the application of the settled tests which have been propounded



in the decisions of this Court or on the basis of the data and material available on the record.

- 13 Apart from this, it is necessary to deal with another contention of the petitioners. One of the questions in the course of the NEET (UG) 2024 was in the following terms:

“Given below are two statements:

**Statement I:** Atoms are electrically neutral as they contain equal number of positive and negative charges.

**Statement II:** Atoms of each element are stable and emit their characteristic spectrum.

In the light of the above statements, choose the most appropriate answer from the options given below:

- (1) Statement I is incorrect but Statement II is correct.
- (2) Both Statement I and Statement II are correct.
- (3) Both Statement I and Statement II are incorrect.
- (4) Statement I is correct but Statement II is incorrect.”

- 14 We have not indicated the number of the question since the number of the question as well of the options is likely to vary in different series of the question papers in view of the procedure which is followed to preserve the integrity of the process.

- 15 Initially, the answer key which was prepared by the NTA indicated that the fourth option extracted above was the correct answer. Subsequently, on

representations submitted to NTA, a decision was taken to the effect that both the second as well as the fourth options would be treated to be the correct answers.

16 By an order of this Court dated 22 July 2024, the Director of IIT, Delhi was requested to constitute a three-member committee to submit its opinion on which of the options noted above would be the correct answer to the above question. The Director and Professor of the Department of Energy Science & Engineering at IIT, Delhi has in a report dated 23 July 2024, indicated that a three-member Committee from the Department of Physics comprising of (i) Professor Pradipta Ghosh; (ii) Professor Aditya Narain Agnihotri; and (iii) Professor Sankalpa Ghosh was constituted for that purpose.

17 The expert team constituted by the Director of IIT, Delhi has opined that option (4), as extracted above, is the correct answer. In order to obviate any ambiguity, option (4) which is to be treated as the correct answer is set out below:

“(4) Statement I is correct but Statement II is incorrect.”

18 The expert determination by the team constituted by the IIT, Delhi leaves no manner of ambiguity in regard to the correct option. This was, in fact, initially the only option which was treated as the correct answer by NTA. Options (2) and (4) are mutually exclusive and both cannot stand together.

- 19 We accept the report of IIT, Delhi. Accordingly, NTA shall revise the result of the NEET (UG) 2024 on the basis that option (4), as extracted above, represents the only correct answer to the question. NTA is directed to update the ranks of all candidates.
- 20 During the course of the hearing, the Court had been apprised of the fact that NTA was conducting a special test for 1,563 students in supersession of the compensatory marks which were awarded. The 1,563 students were given the option of either appearing for the special test or in the alternative, to opt for their original marks without the addition of compensatory marks. NTA is permitted to act following the test which was held.
- 21 The principal issue which has been urged before the Court relates to the sanctity of the NEET (UG) 2024 examination and whether the process should be scrapped and a fresh test should be reconvened. Having answered the question in the above terms, it needs to be clarified that if any student, including in the present batch, has an individual grievance not bearing on the issues which have been resolved by this judgment, it would be open to them to pursue their rights and remedies in accordance with law, including by moving the jurisdictional High Courts under Article 226 of the Constitution. However, before moving the High Court for the grant of relief, the petitioners would have to seek the withdrawal of their petitions before this Court, if any have been filed.

22 The Union Government has constituted a seven-member Expert Committee chaired by Dr K Radhakrishnan, former Chairman, ISRO consisting of the following members:

- (i) Dr K Radhakrishnan, Chairman
- (ii) Dr Randeep Guleria, Member
- (iii) Prof B J Rao, Member
- (iv) Prof Ramamurthy K, Member
- (v) Shri Pankaj Bansal, Member
- (vi) Prof Aditya Mittal, Member
- (vii) Shri Govind Jaiswal, Member Secretary

23 The Committee will abide by such further directions as may be issued by this Court in its final judgment and order in regard to the areas which should be enquired into by it so as to ensure that (i) the process of conducting the NEET (UG) and other examinations falling within the remit of the NTA is duly strengthened; and (ii) the instances which came to light during the course of the present year are not repeated in the future.

24 The transfer petitions at the instance of the NTA or any other party raising the issue as regards the validity of NEET (UG) 2024 examination are allowed. The resulting transferred cases shall stand disposed of in terms of the above directions subject to the clarification that individual grievances, if any, that

remain, may be addressed before the jurisdictional High Court. The interlocutory applications raising individual grievances are similarly permitted to be withdrawn with liberty reserved in the above terms.

**T.P. (c) No. 1602 of 2024**

25 Counsel for the petitioner in TP (Civil) No 1602 of 2024 seeks permission of the Court to amend the petition. Permission is granted to amend the petition during the course of the week.

**Writ Petition (Civil) No 404 of 2024, Writ Petition (Civil) No 381 of 2024, Writ Petition (Civil) No 398 of 2024 & Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No 28729 of 2024**

26 Counsel for the petitioners seek the permission of the Court to withdraw the Petitions with liberty to pursue their rights and remedies in accordance with law, including by moving the jurisdictional High Courts under Article 226 of the Constitution.

27 The Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

**IA No 146158 of 2024 & IA No 146162 of 2024 In Writ Petition (Civil) No 379 of 2024**

28 Mr Kunal Cheema, counsel for the applicants seeks the permission of the Court to withdraw the Interlocutory Applications with liberty to pursue their

rights and remedies in accordance with law.

29 The Interlocutory Applications are dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought.

.....CJI.  
**[Dr Dhananjaya Y Chandrachud]**

.....J.  
**[J B Pardiwala]**

.....J.  
**[Manoj Misra]**

New Delhi;  
July 23, 2024  
CKB

ITEM NO.21+22+23+24+25+26+27 COURT NO.1 SECTION PIL-W

S U P R E M E C O U R T O F I N D I A  
R E C O R D O F P R O C E E D I N G S

Writ Petition (Civil) No.335/2024

VANSHIKA YADAV

Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

UNION OF INDIA & ORS.

Respondent(s)

WITH W.P.(C) No.362/2024 (X)

(With IA No.135182/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No.130607/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T., IA No.130606/2024 - GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF, IA No.135183/2024 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT and IA No.135181/2024 - STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No.369/2024 (PIL-W)

(With IA No.136116/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS, IA No.131601/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.135632/2024 - INTERVENTION APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No.368/2024 (PIL-W)

(With IA No.131968/2024 - EARLY HEARING APPLICATION, IA No.131530/2024 - EX-PARTE AD-INTERIM RELIEF and IA No.134489/2024 - INTERVENTION/IMPLEADMENT)

W.P.(C) No.431/2024 (PIL-W)

W.P.(C) No.379/2024 (X)

(With IA No.133080/2024-GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF)

W.P.(C) No.377/2024 (PIL-W)

(With IA No.134302/2024 - APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS and IA No.132828/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

W.P.(C) No.376/2024 (PIL-W)

(With IA No.132820/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T. and IA No.132819/2024 - STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No.375/2024 (X)

(With IA No.132817/2024 - EX-PARTE STAY and IA No.132818/2024 - EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)

T.P.(C) No.1659/2024 (XIV)

(With IA No.138484/2024-STAY APPLICATION)

W.P.(C) No.425/2024 (X)

W.P.(C) No.401/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.136640/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
W.P.(C) No.415/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.407/2024 (X)  
T.P.(C) No.1597/2024 (XVI)  
(With IA No.133980/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
W.P.(C) No.412/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.383/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.134197/2024-GRANT OF INTERIM RELIEF and IA  
No.134198/2024-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)  
T.P.(C) No.1600/2024 (IX)  
(With IA No.134256/2024-EX-PARTE STA)  
W.P.(C) No.419/2024 (PIL-W)  
W.P.(C) No.406/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.137965/2024-EX-PARTE AD-INTERIM RELIEF and IA  
No.137964/2024-EXEMPTION FROM FILING O.T.)  
W.P.(C) No.403/2024 (PIL-W)  
(With IA No.136825/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
W.P.(C) No.398/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.414/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.423/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.404/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.427/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.441/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.420/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.140324/2024-STAY APPLICATION)  
W.P.(C) No.430/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.446/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.410/2024 (PIL-W)  
T.P.(C) No.1602/2024 (XV)  
(With IA No.134285/2024 - EX-PARTE STAY)  
T.P.(C) No.1596/2024 (XVI)  
(With IA No.133634/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
W.P.(C) No.382/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.381/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.133878/2024 - EX-PARTE AD-INTERIM RELIEF)  
W.P.(C) No.394/2024 (PIL-W)  
(With IA No.135671/2024 - PERMISSION TO APPEAR AND ARGUE IN PERSON)  
W.P.(C) No.384/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.134205/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
W.P.(C) No.389/2024 (X)  
T.P.(C) No.1808/2024 (XV)



W.P.(C) No.417/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.393/2024 (X)  
W.P.(C) No.435/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.142713/2024-APPROPRIATE ORDERS/DIRECTIONS)  
Diary No.28729/2024 (X)  
T.P.(C) No.1741/2024 (XV)  
(With IA No.146522/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
T.P.(C) No.1737/2024 (XV)  
(With IA No.146180/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
T.P.(C) No.1735/2024 (XV)  
(With IA No.146095/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
T.P.(C) No.1730/2024 (XV)  
(With IA No.145468/2024-EX-PARTE STAY)  
W.P.(C) No.449/2024 (X)  
(With IA No.146615/2024-STAY APPLICATION)  
W.P.(C) No.392/2024 (PIL-W)

Date : 23-07-2024 These matters were called on for hearing today.

CORAM :

HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE J.B. PARDIWALA  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ MISRA

For Petitioner(s)	Mr. Narender Hooda, Sr. Adv.
WPC 335/2024 &	Mr. Sumit Kumar Sharma, Adv.
WPC 377/2024	Mr. Rajat Sangwan, Adv.
	Mr. Anurag Kulharia, Adv.
	Mr. Vaibhav Yadav, Adv.
	Dr. Navya Jannu, Adv.
	Mr. Sunny Kadiyan, AOR
	Mrs. Parul Dagar, Adv.
	Mr. Rajesh Sheoran, Adv.
	Mr. Anas Chaudhary, Adv.
	Ms. Shehla Chaudhary, Adv.
	Mr. Hemendra Singh Kashyap, Adv.
	Mohd. Sharyab Ali, Adv.
	Mr. Shaurya Lamba, Adv.
	Mr. Shiv Bhatnagar, Adv.

Mr. Aditya Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Ashish Kumar Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Yuvraj Nandal, Adv.  
Ms. Manisha Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Gyan Prakash, Adv.  
Ms. Keerti Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Divya Kumari Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Tannu, Adv.  
Mr. Vedant Pardhan, Adv.

WPC 362/2024

Mr. Mathews J. Nedumpara, Adv.  
Ms. Usha Nandini V., AOR  
Ms. Maria Nedumpara, Adv.  
Ms. Hemali Kurne, Adv.  
Ms. Rohini Amin, Adv.  
Mr. Shameem Fayiz, Adv.

WPC 369/2024

Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shwetank Sailakwal, AOR  
Mr. Tanmaya Agarwal, Adv.  
Mrs. Aditi Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Deepak Panjwani, Adv.  
Mr. Anas Tanwir, Adv.  
Mr. Ebad Ur Rahman, Adv.  
Mr. Mayank Suryan, Adv.  
Mr. Zaid Raza, Adv.  
Mr. Shahrukh Ali, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Tanay Hegde, Adv.  
Ms. Riya Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Prateek Chandra, Adv.  
Mr. Durgesh Shukla, Adv.  
Mr. Mohammad Asif Abbas, Adv.  
Mr. Raghav Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Aayushman Jauhari, Adv.  
Ms. Aparna Jauhari, Adv.  
Mr. Aakarsh Mishra, Adv.

WPC 368/2024

Mr. Aslam Ahmed Jamal, AOR  
Mr. Rohit Jain, Adv.  
Ms. Shabiesta Nabi, Adv.  
Ms. Kheyali Singh, Adv.

Mr. Abhishek Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Arun Kumar Arunachal, Adv.  
Mr. Tasleem Arif, Adv.  
Mr. Satyapal Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Raees Ahmad, Adv.  
Mr. Harilal S, Adv.  
Mr. Rahat Khan Afridi, Adv.  
Ms. Latika Rungta Bajaj, Adv.  
Mr. Zeeshan Haider, Adv.

WPC 431/2024 &  
WPC 446/2024

Mr. Haris Beeran, Adv.  
Mr. Azhar Assees, Adv.  
Mr. Anand B. Menon, Adv.  
Ms. Maneesha Sunilkumar, Adv.  
Mr. Radha Shyam Jena, AOR

WPC 379/2024

Mr. Kunal Cheema, AOR  
Mr. Raghav Deshpande, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Chandankhede, Adv.

WPC 376/2024

Mr. S.D. Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Bharti Tyagi, AOR  
Ms. Shweta Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Ram Kripal Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Meenu Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Siddharth Singh, Adv.

WPC 375/2024

Mr. Ashish Pandey, AOR  
Mr. Prateek Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Ashutosh Bhardwaj, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Saxena, Adv.  
Dr. Daksha Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Aarti Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Anmol Goyal, Adv.  
Mr. Anshuman Singh Khangarot, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Mishra, Adv.

TPC 1659/2024,  
TPC 1597/2024,  
TPC 1600/2024,  
TPC 1602/2024,  
TPC 1596/2024,

Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Vardhman Kaushik, AOR  
Mr. Anand Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Mayank Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Sanjana Mehrotra, Adv.

TPC 1808/2024,  
TPC 1741/2024,  
TPC 1737/2024,  
TPC 1735/2024 &  
TPC 1730/2024

Mr. Nishant Gautam, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjana Mehrotra, Adv.  
Mr. Dhruv Joshi, Adv.  
Mr. Vinay Kauhsik, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Kanojiya, Adv.  
Mr. Rudra Rout, Adv.  
Mr. Vinay Kaushik, Adv.  
Ms. Shikha John, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Dwivedi, Adv.

WPC 425/2024

Ms. Charu Mathur, AOR  
Ms. Tanvi Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Anukrit Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Mekala Ganesh Kumar Reddy, Adv.

WPC 401/2024

Mr. Anilendra Pandey, AOR  
Mr. C.P. Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Rajeev Kumar Ranjan, Adv.  
Ms. Priya Kashyap, Adv.

WPC 415/2024

Mr. Shiv Sagar Tiwari, AOR  
Mrs. Neetu Verma, Adv.  
Mr. Satendra Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Chauhan, Adv.

WPC 407/2024

Mr. Nishesh Sharma, AOR

WPC 412/2024

Mr. Shivam Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Avdhesh Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Rajendra Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Parth Sarathi, Adv.  
Mr. Gyanendra Vikram Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Rajesh Kumar Maurya, Adv.  
Ms. Soumya Gulati, Adv.  
Mr. Sanjay Kumar Visen, AOR

WPC 383/2024

Mr. Dheeraj Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Dr. Arstu Upadhyay, Adv.  
Mr. Baldev Pathania, Adv.  
Mr. Eshu Aggarwal, Adv.  
Ms. Manshi Ahuja, Adv.

Ms. Mrinalini Dayal, Adv.  
Ms. Anu Batra, Adv.  
Ms. Resha Panwar, Adv.  
Mr. Sudhir Naagar, AOR

WPC 419/2024      Mr. Bikash Ranjan Bhattacharya, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Shamim Ahammed, Adv.  
Mr. Supratik Sarkar, Adv.  
Mr. Arnab Sinha, Adv.  
Mr. Arko Maity, Adv.  
Mr. Saurav Gupta, AOR

WPC 406/2024      Mr. Alakh Alok Srivastava, AOR  
Mr. Rishabh Bafna, Adv.

WPC 403/2024      Mr. Aditya Singh, AOR  
Mr. Kamal Kishor, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Vaseem, Adv.

WPC 398/2024      Mr. Tanmay Yadav, AOR

WPC 414/2024      Mr. Santosh Paul, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sriharsh Nahush Bundela, AOR  
Mr. Vedant Mishra, Adv.

WPC 423/2024      Mr. B.K. Pal, AOR  
Mr. Chinmoy Khaladkar, Adv.

WPC 404/2024      Mr. Suhaas Ratna Joshi, AOR  
Mr. Mahendra Singh Rawat, Adv.  
Ms. Mallika Joshi, Adv.  
Mr. P. Ramesh, Adv.

WPC 427/2024      Mr. Raghav Sabharwal, AOR  
Ms. Tanvi Dubey, Adv.  
Mr. Anukrit Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Mekala Ganesh Kumar Reddy, Adv.

WPC 441/2024      Dr. Avinash Poddar, Adv.  
Ms. Anchal Poddar, Adv.  
Mr. Gaurav Gupta, Adv.

Ms. Diva Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Rudrani Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Samiksha Goswami, Adv.  
Mr. Awadhesh Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Devendra Singh, AOR  
Mr. Hiren Trivedi, Adv.

WPC 420/2024 Mr. Anuj Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Shubhanshu Gupta, AOR  
Mr. Chaitanya, Adv.  
Mr. Kartik Pant, Adv.  
Mr. Anand Kumar Singh, Adv.

WPC 430/2024 Ms. A Sumathi, Adv.  
Ms. Ila Shikhar Sheel, AOR

WPC 410/2024 Mr. Sumeer Sodhi, AOR  
Mr. Aman Nandarjog, Adv.  
Mr. Ujjwal Malhotra, Adv.

WPC 382/2024 Mr. Feroz Shaikh, Adv.  
Mr. Aamir Naseem, Adv.  
Mr. Inam Ahmad Khan, Adv.  
Mr. Danish Zubair Khan, AOR

WPC 381/2024 Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Yoothica Pallavi, AOR  
Mr. Aditya Shanker Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Atul Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Rekha Bakshi, Adv.  
Mr. Shaurya Sahay, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Sehwat, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Tanvi Anand, Adv.  
Mr. Vishal Ranjan, Adv.

WPC 394/2024 Petitioner-in-person

WPC 384/2024 Mr. Shaju Francis, Adv.  
Ms. Meenakshi Kalra, AOR  
Mr. S N Kalra, Adv.  
Mr. Kamal, Adv.

Ms. Jyoti Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Chandan Kumar Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Tusharika Sharma, Adv.

WPC 389/2024 Mr. A. Karthik, AOR

WPC 417/2024 Ms. Abiha Zaidi, AOR  
Ms. Suriti Chowdhary, Adv.  
Mr. Anuj Bhave, Adv.  
Mr. Pritam Raman Giriya, Adv.

WPC 393/2024 Mr. Sanjay R. Hegde, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Mithu Jain, AOR  
Mr. Shashwat Jaiswal, Adv.

WPC 435/2024 Mr. Ravi Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Divakar Kumar, AOR

Diary 28729/2024 Mr. Adutiya Veer, Adv.  
Mr. Karunakar Mahalik, AOR  
Mr. Varun Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Manoranjan Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Gournga Biswal, Adv.  
Mr. Manoj Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. C.P. Singh, Adv.  
Mrs. Monika, Adv.  
Mr. Sarbendra Kumar, Adv.

WPC 449/2024 Ms. Isha Singh, AOR

WPC 392/2024 Mr. Sunil Kumar Agarwal, AOR  
Mr. Narendra Mishra, Adv.  
Mr. Vinod Kumar Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mrs. Amita Agarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Amarjeet Sahani, Adv.  
Mr. Parvinder, Adv.  
Mr. Sachin Kumar Srivastava, Adv.

For Respondent(s) Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG  
Mr. Amrish Kumar, AOR  
Mr. K. Parmeshwar, Adv.  
Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.

Mr. Mayank Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Udai Khanna, Adv.  
Mr. Rajat Nair, Adv.  
Mr. Madhav Sinhal, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG  
Mr. Naresh Kaushik, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Kanu Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Vardhman Kaushik, AOR  
Mr. Nishant Gautam, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Kanojia, Adv.  
Mr. Dhruv Joshi, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Kanojya, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Kanoiya, Adv.  
Mr. Anand Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Mayank Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Sanjana Mehrotra, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Kanojiya, Adv.  
Ms. Shikha John, Adv.  
Mr. Rudra Rout, Adv.  
Ms. Ananya Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Subham Diwedi, Adv.  
Mr. Shubham Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Vinay Kaushik, Adv.

Mr. Tushar Mehta, SG  
Mr. Kanu Agrawal, Adv.  
Mr. Varun Chugh, Adv.  
Mr. Bhuvan Kapoor, Adv.  
Mr. Shreekant Neelappa Terdal, AOR

Mr. Samarpit Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Ivan, Adv.  
Mr. Pranjul Chopra, Adv.  
Mr. Vivek Mathur, AOR

Mr. Sanyat Lodha, AOR

Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, AOR  
Mr. Shiv Mangal Sharma, AAG  
Mrs. Abhinandini Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Nidhi Jaswal, Adv.



Mr. Saurabh Rajpal, Adv.  
Ms. Shalini Singh, Adv.

Mr. Aaditya Aniruddha Pande, AOR  
Mr. Siddharth Dharmadhikari, Adv.  
Mr. Bharat Bagla, Adv.  
Mr. Sourav Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Krishna, Adv.  
Ms. Preet S. Phanse, Adv.  
Mr. Adarsh Dubey, Adv.

Ms. Astha Sharma, AOR  
Mr. Simranjeet Singh Rekhi, Adv.

Mr. Kartikeya Rastogi, Adv.  
Mr. Akshay Girish Ringe, AOR

Mr. P. Wilson, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sabarish Subramanian, AOR  
Mr. C. Kranthi Kumar, Adv.  
Mr. Vishnu Unnikrishnan, Adv.  
Mr. Apoorv Malhotra, Adv.  
Mr. Naman Dwivedi, Adv.  
Mr. Lokesh Krishnan, Adv.  
Mr. Sarathraj B, Adv.  
Mr. Danish Saifi, Adv.

Mr. Manish Kumar, AOR

Ms. Meera Kaura, AOR  
Mr. Tejas Patel, Adv.  
Ms. Tanushree Bhalla, Adv.  
Ms. Muskaan Gandhi, Adv.  
Ms. Ritika Saini, Adv.  
Mr. Aditya Kumar Tripathi, Adv.  
Mr. Himanshu Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Vikash Vadit, Adv.  
Mr. Piyush Goel, Adv.  
Mr. Sunpreet Bawa, Adv.  
Mr. Tarun Bajaj, Adv.  
Mr. Pawan Aneja, Adv.

Mr. Jugul Kishor Gupta, AOR  
Mr. Raj Narayan Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Dilip Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Babila K.k., Adv.  
Mr. Santosh Kumar Jha, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR  
Ms. Sangeet Joshi, Adv.  
Mr. Shantanu Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. R.D. Rathore, Adv.  
Ms. Deeksha Gaur, Adv.

Mr. Sudhanshu Choudhari, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Sagar Pahune Patil, AOR  
Ms. Pranjal Chapalgaonkar, Adv.  
Ms. Gautami Yadav, Adv.  
Mrs. Sangeeta S. Pahune Patil, Adv.

Mr. Varinder Kumar Sharma, AOR  
Ms. Sangeet Joshi, Adv.  
Mr. Shantanu Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. R.D. Rathore, Adv.  
Ms. Deeksha Gaur, Adv.

Mr. Abhinav Raghuvanshi, AOR  
Mr. Kushagra Pandey, Adv.  
Mr. Avinash Tripathi, Adv.

Mr. Mukesh Kumar, AOR  
Mr. Yashaswi Sk Chocksey, Adv.  
Mr. Ankit Singh, Adv.  
Mr. Sushant, Adv.  
Mr. Vijay Rajput, Adv.  
Mr. Yashish Chandra, Adv.  
Ms. Neha Rai, Adv.  
Mr. Madhup Kumar Tiwari, Adv.  
Mr. Rajnish Kumar Singh, Adv.

Mr. Abhilash M.R., Adv.  
Mr. Sayooj Mohandas, Adv.  
Mr. Tom Jospeh, Adv.  
Mr. Gautam Kumar Laha, Adv.

Mr. Arun Kumar, Adv.  
Ms. Sandra Jaison, Adv.  
M/s. M.R. Law Associates

Dr. Avinash Poddar, Adv.  
Ms. Anchal Poddar, Adv.  
Dr. Gaurav Gupta, Adv.  
Ms. Rudrani Mishra, Adv.  
Ms. Samiksha Goswami, Adv.  
Mr. Devendra Singh, AOR

Mr. Ashwin Kumar Nair, AOR  
Mr. Ritik Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Sunil Gupta, Adv.  
Mrs. Sheetal Gupta, Adv.  
Mr. Puneet Khanna, Adv.

Mr. Mayank Aggarwal, AOR  
Mr. Pradeep Kumar Aggarwal, Adv.  
Mr. Vineet Yadav, Adv.  
Mr. Amir Yadav, Adv.

Mr. P.V. Dinesh, Sr. Adv.  
Ms. Anna Oommen, Adv.  
Ms. Anne Mathew, AOR

Mr. Sanchit Garga, AOR

Mr. Namit Saxena, AOR  
Mr. Divik Mathur, Adv.

Mr. Nikhil Jain, AOR  
Ms. Divya Jain, Adv.

Mr. Thomas P Joseph, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. A Hariprasad, Sr. Adv.  
Mr. Bijo Mathew Joy, AOR  
Ms. Gifty Mariam Joseph, Adv.  
Mr. Dinny Thomas, Adv.  
Ms. Swathi H Prasad, Adv.  
Ms. Anzu K Varkey, Adv.

Mr. Jasbir Singh Malik, Adv.  
Ms. Chandni Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Abhishek Pareek, Adv.  
Mr. Varun Punia, AOR

Mr. Kailash Prashad Pandey, AOR  
Mr. Hitesh Kumar Sharma, Adv.  
Mr. Amit Kumar Chawla, Adv.  
Mr. S.K. Rajora, Adv.  
Mr. Akhileshwar Jha, Adv.  
Mr. Mahi Pal Singh, Adv.  
Ms. Manisha Chawla, Adv.  
Ms. Niharika Dewivedi, Adv.  
Ms. Yamini Sharma, Adv.  
Ms. Ritika Raj, Adv.  
Mr. Neeraj Shrivsatav, Adv.  
Mr. Varun Varma, Adv.  
Mr. Prahkar Sukla, Adv.  
Mr. Ajay Mishra, Adv.

Mr. Harender K Sangwan, Adv.  
Mr. Akash, Adv.  
Ms. Chanchal, Adv.  
Mr. Shashank Gusain, Adv.  
Mr. Ashish Pandey, AOR

**UPON hearing the counsel the Court made the following  
O R D E R**

- 1 Arguments have been concluded and judgment has been reserved.
- 2 The transfer petitions are allowed of in terms of the signed reportable order.
- 3 Counsel for the petitioner in TP (Civil) No 1602 of 2024 seeks permission of the Court to amend the petition. Permission is granted to amend the petition during the course of the week.

**Writ Petition (Civil) No 404 of 2024, Writ Petition (Civil) No 381 of 2024 & Writ Petition (Civil) No 398 of 2024 & Writ Petition (Civil) Diary No 28729 of 2024**

- 1 The Petitions are dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed reportable order.

**IA No 146158 of 2024 & IA No 146162 of 2024 In Writ Petition (Civil) No 379 of 2024**

- 1 The Interlocutory Applications are dismissed as withdrawn in terms of the signed reportable order.

**(CHETAN KUMAR)  
A.R. -cum-P.S.**

**(SAROJ KUMARI GAUR)  
Assistant Registrar  
(Signed Reportable Order is placed on the file)**